

# STATE FOOTPRINT


Kansas





# 2020 Election Summary

---



## The Big Lie

Trump 770,644

Biden 570,208

Won by 200,436 votes

## The Truth


Trump 826,998

Biden 513,854

Won by 313,144 votes



---



**— CONCLUSIONS —**

# Explain This

---

- June 7, 2022 Primary - Governor and US Senate race election night reporting results virtually the same in each county in SD. Appears to be 75/25 algorithm numbers.
- Election night reporting stopped at 1:00 in the morning and then resumed at 8:30 a.m. the next day in several counties.
- 4,733 Absentee Mail Votes from 514 America's Way, Box Elder, SD with one day turnaround in 2020.
- 92% of homes canvassed with five or more registered voters had voter roll discrepancies.
- Lifetime registered republican voters discovered voter registration party affiliation changed without their consent or knowledge.

# Executive Summary

---

## About this Analysis:

- The data used in this analysis was collected from New York Times/Edison Research.
- Note, the time is EDT.

## Key Findings:

- Irregular and unexplained voting patterns were identified through the use of real-time data analysis.
- Manipulation of vote totals were observed, demonstrating the susceptibility of our election system.
- Election officials failed to uphold election law in numerous races and counties.
- One county identified, reported, and corrected a case of Vote Flipping, which is when an equal number of votes are applied to one candidate and deducted from another.
- However, up to five other counties in Kansas have also experienced Vote Flipping; yet none of them have publicly acknowledged it.
- Vote Reductions (votes removed but not eventually added back) occurred numerous times in several counties, but none of this activity has been publicly reported.

# Executive Summary

---

## Key Findings, continued:

- Zeroing of Votes (vote totals moving to zero at some point after the counting had started) had occurred multiple times in one county.
- At least one Kansas county blatantly failed to follow Post Election Audit Rules outlined by state statute.
- Nearly all Kansas Election Officials have failed to uphold laws designed to prevent ballot harvesting.
- Election system failures defraud all citizens of their proper election outcome.
- Therefore, the author desires to alert county and state officials to these issues in hopes that existing laws are upheld and new practices, laws, and procedures can be adopted to improve the integrity of our elections.
- Ultimately, this report aims to seek greater election transparency and accountability from election officials at every level.
- If necessary, through legislative and legal action.



# Vote Flipping

- Vote Flipping occurred in the Cherokee Co, KS 2022 County Commissioner Primary Election.
- Refer to the article to the right.
- The “Thumb Drive” Switched the Numbers.
- Vote Flipping is the slickest form of election system fraud, as it provides “plausible deniability” to all those involved.
- Does the unnamed election software company referenced in the article have an incentive to alter the outcome of a county commissioners race?
- They certainly do; who makes the counties election equipment/software purchasing decisions?



## Cherokee County Clerk follows up on mixed election results

by: Jessica Schaer  
Posted: Aug 9, 2022 / 06:20 PM CDT  
Updated: Aug 9, 2022 / 06:20 PM CDT



SHARE    ...


CHEROKEE COUNTY, Kans. — We’re learning more on the events that lead to a mix-up in a Cherokee County Commissioner race.

The Cherokee County Clerk says an audit was done this past Friday and officials noticed a discrepancy that showed the numbers were correct, they were just under the wrong candidate. Image prints on the thumb drive for “County Commissioner District One” switched the numbers for incumbent Myra Frazier, and challenger Lance Nichols. A full hand re-count was done twice to ensure the accurate results of the vote and found Myra Frazier to be the true winner of the race.

The election software company has taken full responsibility for the error. The County Clerk just wants to ensure voters their vote will always count.

“I want to be as transparent as I can possibly be to the citizens of Cherokee County. And, I don’t want them to ever have to think that there’s anything to worry about when it comes to elections in this office,” said Rebecca Brassart, Cherokee County Clerk.

The County Clerk is now going through all of the thumb drives to make sure all the other races in the county and at the state-level are correct.






(watch in slide show mode to see all five graphs)

1. Wallace
2. Kingman
3. Ness
4. Lincoln
5. Coffey

- Have these five counties been forced to hand count races where obvious vote flipping occurred?
- Notably, the type of vote flipping discovered in Cherokee Co. would not have been discovered by real-time trending of data.
- Their vote flipping occurred inside of a thumb drive and would never have been identified without a hand recount of the paper ballots.



# Vote Reductions – State House District #118

- 215 votes were removed in a hotly contested State House Race, as seen in the media reports on election night.
  - Note the two screenshots of *USA Today's* score board shown to the right.
- The left snapshot shows Lee is leading the race with 1,083 votes with 16.72% of the votes counted.
- To the right, however, you'll see in the very next update that Lee was trailing in the race with 868 votes with 20.07% of the votes counted.
- Mind you, these are official county results sent to Edison Research, which should never decline.
- So what caused the removal of the votes??
  - Was it man or machine?

USA TODAY NEWS [Subscribe](#) [Sign In](#)

Candidate	Total Votes	% Votes
Turk (R)	1,246	67.8%
Parsons (R)	593	32.2%

[County-by-County Results](#) >

**District 118 Republican Primary**  
16.72% Est. Vote Counted \*Incumbent

Candidate	Total Votes	% Votes
Lee (R) *	1,083	71.4%
Minnix (R) *	434	28.6%

[County-by-County Results](#) >

**District 119 Republican Primary**  
0% Reporting \*Incumbent

Candidate	Total Votes	% Votes
Goetz (R)	-	-
Ralph (R) *	-	-

USA TODAY NEWS [Subscribe](#) [Sign In](#)

## Results

Election Date: Aug. 2, 2022 | Updated 10:04 PM EDT Aug. 2, 2022

[Text](#) [Facebook](#) [Twitter](#) [Email](#)


**District 118 Republican Primary**  
20.07% Est. Vote Counted \*Incumbent

Candidate	Total Votes	% Votes
Minnix (R) *	953	52.3%
Lee (R) *	868	47.7%

[County-By-County Results Map](#)


● Lee ● Minnix ● No Election  
● Tied / Runoff ● No Results

**Mail-in and early votes may not be included.**



# Vote Reductions – State House District #118

- The snapshots of USA Today's scoreboard shown on the previous slide and the NYT's real-time data shown here, confirm that 215 votes were in-fact deducted from Rep. Tatum Lee.
- What are we to conclude by these findings?
  - Did ES&S control the outcome of this vote?
  - Was there other outside interference with this election?
  - Did one or all of the counties of this district (Gove, Greeley, Lane, Logan, Ness, Scott, Sheridan, Trego, and Wichita) make an accounting error?
  - This race deserves an automatic hand recount!
  - Every election official and citizen should demand it!



# Vote Reductions – Nothing good happens after mid-night!




Synchronized Vote Reductions @ exactly 12:13 AM EDT in the Republican Attorney General Race:

1. Bourbon

2. Coffey

- Is the timing of these vote reductions just a coincidence?
- These are official numbers when they leave the county; so who is manipulating them?
  - Is it someone at the state level?
  - Or is it some one at Edison Research?
  - Or did both counties make a correction at the exact same time within the exact same race?? This doesn't seem plausible.
  - Shouldn't someone be shedding light on this and demand an explanation?
- To make our elections more robust, counties must explain every variance between their tallies and that of Edison.
  - How else will a errors, innocent or a malicious, of this sort be identified?




The **MOMENT OF TRUTH** Summit  
August 20th & 21st  
HOSTED BY **MIKE LINDELL**





# Vote reduction – evidence of a susceptible system


- ▶ The top graph to the right is the statewide totals of the KS Constitutional Amendment question.
  - ▶ Note the reduction of votes in the circle and then the re-addition of those votes an hour later.
  - ▶ The bottom graph shows us that either someone in Ellis County, or the state level, or at Edison may have been playing with the election system - four days after the election was over.
- ▶ Was this an honest error? I'd like to think so.
  - ▶ **NOTICE, however, how easy it must be for the election software to add and delete votes.**
  - ▶ **This indicates that our election system, in its most basic form, is neither safe nor secure!**



# Zeroing of Votes – Butler County

(watch in slide show mode to see all eight graphs)

- In this set of graphs, you notice that all but one of Butler's statewide races went to zero.
- How is this possible?
- Butler Co. should be forced to explain what happened.
- Did Butler Co. conduct a hand re-count of the original ballots to test for accuracy before certifying these races?



# Ballot Harvesting

---

- A new law for 2022, KSA 25-2437, limits the number of advanced ballots one can transmit or deliver on behalf of others to 10. It also requires the person handling said ballots to create a sworn statement confirming no undue pressure was applied to the voters and their selection. It also requires a sworn statement from each voter affirming that no person exercised undue influence on their selections.
- **This law was not followed by most county election officials across the state.**
- Kansas Statute, KSA 19-3424, however, states that “the **election commissioner**, in the conduct of elections, shall operate under the general supervision of the secretary of state and shall comply with the statutes, rules and regulations and standards and directives that relate to the registration of voters and the conduct of elections.”
- It would be a direct violation of every County Commissioner’s oath of office, to vote to certify an illegal election.

# Post Election Audits

---

- A post-election “audit” of the Constitutional Amendment vote was conducted in Johnson County on Saturday, August 13.
- Per state statute, KSA 25-3009, and Kansas Administrative Regulation, KAR 7-47-1, post-election audits of Constitutional Amendment votes require that the Paper Ballots be used during this re-count process.
- However, Johnson County knowingly and blatantly used printed copies of the DIGITAL images of the ballots.
- Re-counting Digital Images will not identify any accidental or malicious activity.
- Thus, the Johnson County Post Election Audit of the Constitutional Amendment was illegitimate and illegal; legal action is reportedly pending in this case.



# Your Sworn Duty

---

- To every state and local government representative who has taken an oath to the state and federal constitution and to uphold the rule of law, I implore you to vigorously defend our election laws on behalf of all Kansans.