

Built for Integrity

How to make American elections transparent and trustworthy



Revised January 2022



Elections will be trustworthy only when CITIZENS are in control.

- 1. Elections belong to citizens.
- 2. Integrity *first and above all: Transparency = Truth*
- 3. Any law, rule, or act of government that reduces or risks election integrity is *void*.
- 4. Decentralization is critical to self-governance.
- 5. Complexity breeds and hides corruption.



The election system we have is highly flawed.

- Centralized, vulnerable, dirty voter rolls
- **Vulnerable mail-in ballots and relaxed ID**
- **Vulnerable black-box vote counting**
- **Vulnerable vendor-controlled reporting**
- **Vulnerable black-box election audits**
- No way to verify HOW your vote counted

Vulnerable to *fake voters, fake ballots, fake counts*

This is not a theory...

OCTOBER 16, 2020 | JUDICIAL WATCH

New Judicial Watch Study Finds 353FBI detects breaches against twoU.S. Counties in 29 States with Voterstate voter systemsRegistration Rates Exceeding 100%By Dustin Volz. Jim Finkle

Michigan BOMBSHELL: Forensic Audit Finds Dominion Machines Designed to Flip Votes, Were Set At 68.05% Error Rate

by Dustin Volz. Jim Finkle 4 MIN READ f BREAKING: THEY GOT CAUGHT! Investigators Have Video of 240 Leftist Operatives in Georgia Dumping Thousands of Ballots from Backpacks Image Credits: cmanphot

> By Jim Hoft Published September 3, 2021 at 11:05am Comment

AZ Auditors Say Over 17,000 Duplicate Ballots Found in Maricopa County, 1.5 Times What Biden Won By

U.S. NEWS AUGUST 29, 2016 / 8:46 AM / UPDATED 5 YEARS AGO



4



The election system we need prioritizes integrity.

	Prevents		
Component	Fake Voters	Fake Ballots	Fake Counts
Start over: Local-controlled, clean voter rolls	\checkmark		
In-person, election DAY voting w/gov. photo ID	\checkmark	\checkmark	
Precinct hand-count of numbered ballots under live-streamed, archived HD video			
Precincts certify and report vote count to precinct VOTERS, then Report certified count to counties			
Counties tally precinct counts ON VIDEO, report certified tally to voters/states			V
States tally counties' tallies, report/certify to voters			\checkmark



It's time to act.

What? Who?	Return Control of Registration & Voter Roll Management to the County	End No Excuse Mail-In Voting & Return to a Secure Absentee Process	Eliminate Electronic Voting Machines; Require Hand Count at the Precinct Level	Require In-Person Registration & Voting, Requiring Photo ID	
Citizens	 a. Pressure Your Elected Officials b. Bring Suit c. Recall Resisters 	a. Pressure Legislatorsb. Bring Suitc. Recall Resisters	a. Pressure Commissionersb. Recall Resistersc. Volunteer to Hand Count	 a. Pressure Elected Officials b. Bring Suit c. Recall Resisters 	
Boards of County Commissioners	a. Pressure Legislators b. Bring Suit	a. Pressure Legislators b. Bring Suit	 a. Vote to Defund/Eliminate the Machines b. Direct Hand Count c. Decouple Local Elections 	a. Pressure Legislatorsb. Bring Suit	
Legislators	a. Modify Statutesb. Direct Audit ofElections, Systems	a. Modify Statutesb. Direct Audit ofElections, Systems	a. Modify Statutesb. Direct Audit of Elections, Systems	a. Modify Statutesb. Direct Audit of Elections, Systems	
Prosecutors /Courts	 a. Investigate Evidence of Criminal Violations b. Hear Evidence and Rule for Integrity 	 a. Investigate Evidence of Criminal Violations b. Hear Evidence and Rule for Integrity 	None.	a. Investigate Evidence of Criminal Violationsb. Hear Evidence and Rule for Integrity	




Join the Cause



Learn more...




SCORE Vulnerabilities





The integrity of mail-in ballots relies on signature verification.

Adequate Signature Verification According to CO SecState



Adequate Signature Verification According to Professionals

Months of training Years of experience

Introduction	rears of experience
Chapter One	An Overview of Signature Identification
Chapter Two	Signatures
Chapter Three	Elements of Comparison
Chapter Four	Recognition of False Signatures
Chapter Five	Materials for Comparison
Chapter Six	Differences
Chapter Seven	Explaining Differences
Chapter Eight	Case Ratings
Chapter Nine	Working with Copies
Chapter Ten	Opinions-Levels of Certainty
Chapter Eleven	Errors
Chapter Twelve	e Reports
Chapter Thirte	en Testimony-Trials and Depositions
Chapter Fourte	en Questioning the Document Examiner
Chapter Fifteen	
Index	-

Steven A. Slyter **Forensic Signature** Examination A ratings

Page

vii

10

26

39

46

53

59

64

69

73

78

82

90

100

111

111 pages



INK PEN



The issues with Black Box Voting Machines have been known – and remain unresolved.

• Bev Harris, Black Box Voting, 2004:

"With computerized voting, the certified and sworn officials step aside and let technicians, and sometimes the county computer guy, tell us the election results."

• Gould report, Mesa County, September 2021 (like Antrim/Maricopa):

"(CRS) 1-5-601.5 requires...compliance with...2002 Voting System Standards...this forensic examination found that a substantially large number of these requirements have not been met."

• Halderman Declaration, 1:17-CV-2989-AT, Curling v. Raffensberger:

"My July 1, 2021, expert report describes...flaws that would allow attackers to install malicious software on the ICX,...with temporary physical access...or remotely from (EMS)...Nor do these problems affect (GA) alone...It will be used for accessible voting in...Colorado"